

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

Tilois			www.uspto.gov		SE
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/541,663	04/03/2000	Robert H. Adolfsen	MST-1980 441		
75	90 11/29/2001		·		
John M Paolino			EXAMINER		
Bayer Corporation 511 Benedict Avenue			GORDON, BRIAN R		
Tarrytown, NY	10591		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		\neg
			1743		2
DATE MAILED: 11/29/2001					ン

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

s				M)-				
	Application I	lo.	Applicant(s)	_ <u></u>				
	09/541,663		ADOLFSEN ET AL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Brian R. Gor	1	1743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 A	•							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is no	n-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>52-57</u> is/are pending in the application	on.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>52-57</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requ	irement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	- hava haan	ani and						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			- Al-					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [6) [Interview Summary (Interview Summary (Interview Summary (Informal Part Other:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/541,663 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/541,663

Art Unit: 1743

4. Claims 52-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al.

Page 3

Kumar et al. disclose an apparatus and a method for controlling a bi-directional flow stream of liquid packages including the steps required to aspirate multiple test packages. After the first test package is aspirated, the shear valve 130 is then driven by drive motor 132 to return to the aspirate position thereof, and sample liquid container 62 containing sample liquid S2 is indexed on transport device 66 by drive motor 68 into position for access by probe tube 42. Piston 34 of pump 31 is then driven by drive motor 36 to the operational bottom dead center position thereof, and probe assembly 40 concomitantly actuated as described relative to sample liquid container 62, and the respective buffer liquid B and reagent liquids R1 and R2 containers 60, 56 and 58, whereupon aspiration as described of a second sample liquid test package TP2 by pump 31 through probe tube 40 into conduit 50, and resultant displacement of the previously aspirated sample liquid test package TP1 from conduit 50 through shear valve 130 into conduit 38, are accomplished; thereby bringing the system 20 of our invention to the operational condition depicted in application drawing FIG. 9. As this occurs, pump 100 may again be cycled through one complete stroke, and pump 116 operated as required, for supply of further isolation liquid IL from isolation liquid reservoir 120 as heretofore described through shear valve 130 to the interior surface of the now connected, but still empty insofar as sample liquid test packages are concerned, analytical line 134.

Application/Control Number: 09/541,663

Art Unit: 1743

Page 4

Although Kumar et al. does not specifically recite the step of employing a means that adjusts the volume of the air segments to equal an optimal volume, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the pumps and valves of the system control the volume of the segments aspirated which allow the system to be placed in an operational condition.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bannister et al., Thomas, Negersmith, Smythe et al., Smythe, Reasons et al., Saros, Kleinschmitt et al, Young et al., Kopf-Sill et al., and Zabetakis et al. disclose flow metering systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 305-0399. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, with 2nd and 4th F off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7719 for regular communications and (703) 305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Application/Control Number: 09/541,663

Art Unit: 1743

Page 5

BRG

November 13, 2001

Augustus Alill Warden Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700